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Abstract:
The open nature of the wireless medium leaves it vulnerable to intentional interference attacks, typically referred to as jamming. This intentional interference with wireless transmissions can be used as a launchpad for mounting Denial-of-Service attacks on wireless networks. Typically, jamming has been addressed under an external threat model. However, adversaries with internal knowledge of protocol specifications and network secrets can launch low-effort jamming attacks that are difficult to detect and counter. In this work, we address the problem of selective jamming attacks in wireless networks. By source annulment, such unwanted information leakage often results in privacy breaches of the people in the environment. Moreover, the wireless communication employed by sensor networks facilitates eavesdropping and packet injection by an adversary. The combination of these factors demands security for sensor networks at design time to ensure operation safety, secrecy of sensitive data, and privacy for people in sensor environments. Providing security in sensor networks is even more difficult than MANETs due to the resource limitations of sensor nodes.
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I.Introduction

Wireless sensor networks are association of thousand of sensor nodes.feeler nodes are diminishing in size, fewer memory space, reasonable in price with constrained energy supply and inadequate processing aptitude. WSNs are quickly gaining reputation due to down run solutions to a variety of real world confronts [1]. The necessary idea of sensor network is to diffuse tiny sensing devices, which are competent of sensing some changes of happenings. parameters and correspond with other devices over an explicit geographic area for some specific intentions like surveillance, environmental monitoring, target pursue etc. Sensor can observe pressure, humidity and temperature, vehicular development, lightning circumstances, mechanical anxiety levels on attached objects and other possessions [2]. Due to the lack of data storage and power sensor networks introduce severe resource constraints. These are the obstacles to the implementation of traditional computer security techniques in a WSN. Security defences harder in WSN due to the unreliable communication channel and unattended operation. As a result these networks require some unique security policies. Cryptography, steganography and other basics of network security and their applicability can be used to address the critical security issues in WSN. Many researchers have begun to address of maximizing the processing capabilities and energy saving of sensor nodes with securing them against attackers. There are different types of attacks designed to exploit the unreliable communication channels and unattended operation of WSNs. Physical attacks to sensors play an important role in the operation of WSNs due to the inherent unattended feature. We explore various types of attacks and threats against WSN. However, adopting an be capable of implementing a “classify-then-jam” strategy always-on strategy has several disadvantages. First, the before the completion of a wireless transmission. Such adversary has to expend a significant amount of energy strategy can be actualized either by classifying transmitted to jam frequency bands of interest. Second, the continuous packets using protocol semantics [1], or by decoding presence of unusually high interference levels makes this packets on the fly. In the latter method, the jammer type of attacks easy to detect may decode the first few bits of a packet for recovering. Conventional anti-jamming techniques rely extensively useful packet identifiers such as packet type, source and on spread-spectrum (SS) communications, or some destination address. After categorization, the adversary must form of jamming evasion (e.g., slow frequency hopping, induce a sufficient number of bit errors so that the packet or spatial retreats). SS techniques provide bit-level pro- cannot be recovered at the receiver. Selective jamming section by spreading bits according to a secret pseudo-noise requires an intimate knowledge of the physical (PHY) layer,(PN) code, known only to the communicating parties. These as well as of the particulars of upper layers. methods can only protect wireless transmissions under the Our Contributions–We investigate the feasibility of real-external threat model. Potential disclosure of secrets due time packet classification for launching selective jamming attacks, under an internal threat model.

II. Security Requirements For Source Management Scheme

A good source distribution or establishment and management schemes for sensor networks needs to consider few security points.
The scheme must work without prior knowledge of which nodes will come into communication range of each other after deployment. Deployed nodes must be able to establish secure node-to-node communication. Additional legitimate nodes deployed at a later time can form secure connections with already deployed nodes. Unauthorized nodes should not be able to take entry into the network or become members of the network. Sensor nodes have limited resources so computational and storage requirements of the scheme must be low. If a node becomes compromised, the source management scheme must be able to securely remove the compromised node from the network.

### III. Related Work
A lot of work has been done in sensor networks related to source distribution. However, source revocation has received relatively little attention. The task of securely removing the compromised sources is known as source revocation. This chapter provides brief overview and analysis of the current source revocation schemes for sensor networks.

#### A. Eschenauer and Gligor’s scheme
Eschenauer and Gligor [8] proposed the probabilistic source pre-distribution scheme. In most of papers this scheme is referred as basic scheme. In this scheme, three phases are needed to set up the secret sources between sensor nodes. These phases are source pre-distribution, shared source discovery and path source establishment. In first phase each sensor node randomly assigned k different sources from a big source pool. This is shown in figure 1 where nodes A, B, C, D, E are randomly assigned k sources from the source pool. Stored sources in each sensor node are called source ring of the node and each source has a corresponding id. Next two phases are done when nodes are deployed. In the shared source discovery phase nodes find the common source between them and establish a secure connection. In this phase each node discovers its neighbours in communication range with which it shares common sources. Shows sample the sample graph after shared source discovery. In this network node pairs A and B, and A and C can set up secure links through their common sources. It might happen that nodes are in communication range but do not share any sources, these nodes may be connected by one or more hops links through path source establishment phase. Nodes B and C are in communication range but do not share a common source. The path source establishment phase assigns a path source to the sensor nodes via node A and then they can set up secure link between them. Most of the pre-distribution schemes are based on this model. In wireless sensor network base station is known as centralized authority. Base station is used to revoke the compromised nodes. Eschenauer and Gligor presented a source management scheme for wireless sensor network in [8]. It is a centralized source revocation scheme. If a node is compromised, the base station can send a message to all other sensors to revoke the compromised node’s source ring. The revocation scheme in [8] can be divided into three phases: signature source distribution, source revocation and link reconfiguration. In the signature source distribution phase, the base station generates a signature source. The base station unicast a signature source to each node. The signature source is encrypted with a pairwise source shared by the base station with the sensor node. In the source revocation phase, the base station broadcast single source revocation message signed by the signature source. This message contains a list of source identifiers for the source ring to be revoked. Each sensor verifies the signature of the source revocation message locates those identifiers in its source ring and removes the corresponding sources. Some links may disappear if the sources are removed from the source rings and the affected nodes need to reconfigure those links by restarting the shared-source discovery and the path-source establishment phase. The source revocation scheme in [8] requires n unicast messages and one broadcast message. In a large scale sensor network, distributing the signature source might be a problem. Pre-distributing the signature source might be possible, however once the signature source is compromised, the adversary could use the signature source to duplicate the revocation messages from the base station.

#### B. Clustering
Grouping of nodes in a network into clusters will be done by the k-means++ clustering algorithm [7]. In k-means++ clustering algorithm, the number of clusters is fixed a priori. We assume that the number of clusters to be chosen is k and this choice is based on the network size and geographical positions of each node. Consequently, we will have k sub controllers monitoring nodes in their respective clusters.

According to k-means++ clustering algorithm, initially k means are to be identified, one for each cluster. For this, as explained in k-means++ approach, following steps are to be followed,

1. Choose an initial centre \( x \), from the set of all node points say \( \text{at random} \).
2. Choose next mean from the set and name it \( y \) with probability \( \frac{D(x)}{\sum_{x \in \text{set}} D(x)} \) proportional to \( D(x) \).
3. Repeat step 2 until all the k centers are identified.

![Figure 1. A network divided into various clusters](image-url)
Once these centers are identified, these would be the means of the clusters and each node distance from all the means is calculated and is associated to the nearest mean, this process is called binding. This process is repeated up to a stage where all the positions of nodes in the network are visited at least once. After this, \( k \) new means will be calculated. Once the positions of \( k \) new means are identified, binding is done again. This process is repeated until there is no change in the location of means.

**C. Source Distribution**

As the communication can be both inter and intra cluster, the source distribution should also be considered for two cases, one among nodes and other among sub controllers.

**IV. Conclusion**

The scheme presented in this paper is an effective protocol for dividing the network into clusters and for distributing sources among them. This method is efficient when the nodes in the network are divided randomly and can be clustered easily rather than the nodes when distributed in a uniform fashion.

Simulations were run for the proposed design and the results are presented in graphs. These results show that the performance in terms of number of hops and number of sources stored in a node improves as the number of clusters increases. The developments in sensor networks occurring at a very fast pace, but compared to that security within sensor networks has not gained significant interest. This is partially because of the lack of understanding of the potential of these tiny devices, and partially due to the lack of commercial motivation. In this paper, we have discussed various existing source management schemes for wireless sensor networks.
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